Influence of Cations, Alkane Chain Length, and Substrate on Molecular Order of Langmuir–Bodggett Films
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Abstract: Atomic force microscope images of Langmuir–Bodggett films show that manganese arachidate (MnA2) monolayers are short-range ordered and lead stearate (PbSt2) monolayers are long-range ordered on crystalline mica substrates but disordered on amorphous oxidized silicon substrates. The lattice structures of PbSt2 and MnA2 monolayers on mica were previously unknown and have larger lattice parameters and molecular areas than do multilayer films of the same materials, indicating the strong interactions with the larger mica lattice. Multilayer films of PbSt2, cadmium arachidate (CdA2), and MnA2 have centered rectangular “herringbone” lattices on both silicon and mica substrates. After sufficient layers are added, the effect of the mica substrate is eliminated and the lattice parameters and area per molecule of films deposited on mica relax to those of multilayer films on amorphous oxidized silicon. This limiting area per molecule correlates well with the degree of ionic versus covalent bonding as estimated by the Pauling electronegativity, with barium arachidate (BaA2) > MnA2 > CdA2 > PbSt2. For BaA2 and MnA2 the increased molecular area is sufficient to induce a tilt in the molecular packing. The lattice parameters, symmetry, and area per molecule are independent of the length of the alkane chain of the fatty acid for all cations and substrates examined.

Introduction

Because of their applications in the areas of nonlinear optics, molecular electronics, and biosensors,1 Langmuir–Bodggett (LB) films have been extensively studied by a wide variety of scattering and spectroscopic techniques.2 Recently, the atomic force microscope3 (AFM) has emerged as an important tool for studying LB films4–8 because of its unprecedented ability to measure unaltered films with high lateral resolution and surface selectivity. This permits the observation of not only homogeneous, ordered systems but also defects and inhomogeneities at molecular resolution.4–8

In past work we have investigated the prototypic LB system, cadmium arachidate (CdA2), and have established systematic procedures for quantitative determination of molecular lattice structures and the extent of positional correlation.9,10 We have attributed the dramatic onset of order between CdA2 films of 1 and 2 layers to the headgroup–headgroup interaction in these films. In addition, we have observed regular, long-wavelength undulations in the layer thickness16 that may be related to packing frustration between the polar and nonpolar parts of the fatty acid salt.17 However, we observed no differences in either the lattice symmetry and dimensions in multilayers or the lack of order in monolayers between films deposited on amorphous oxidized silicon and ordered crystalline mica substrates,10—14 although there were still differences in the number of macroscopic holes and defects in the films.14

These observations motivated us to investigate the effect of incorporating different divalent metal cations and fatty acids of different chain length into films deposited on both amorphous and ordered substrates. Once substrate effects have been accounted for, multilayer Langmuir–Bodggett films of palmitic (P), stearic (St), and arachidic (A) acid salts show that the area per molecule is primarily controlled by the detailed interactions of the counterion with the carboxylic acid group. However, the lattice dimensions and symmetry are dictated by the close packing of the alkane chains, given the constraint of area per molecule set by the counterion. Hence, AFM studies of molecular organization of fatty acid LB films may be ideal systems for checking molecular dynamics calculations of alkane packing.18 This limiting area per molecule decreases with the degree of ionic versus covalent bonding of the metal ion with the carboxylic acid moiety,18,19 with barium arachidate (BaA2) > manganese arachidate (MnA2) > lead stearate (PbSt2). For BaA216 and MnA2 the increased molecular area is sufficient to induce tilt in the molecular packing. The lattice parameters, symmetry, and area per molecule are independent of the length of the alkane chain of the fatty acid (for C16 to C22) for all cations and substrates examined.

However, the choice of substrate does have a dramatic effect

---
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on the structure of monolayer and trilayer films for both PbS-b and MnAs-b. Monolayers of PbS-b deposited on crystalline mica have a long-range order while monolayers of MnAs-b on mica show a distinct but short-range order. Otherwise identical lead stearate and manganese arachidate monolayers are completely disordered on amorphous oxidized silicon. Both PbS-b and MnAs-b monolayers on mica have a significantly larger lattice spacing and molecular area than do the corresponding multilayers on mica, indicating a strong coupling to the mica lattice.21 For PbS-b trilayers on mica, the molecular area and lattice parameters were significantly larger than those of PbS-b trilayers on oxidized silicon.21 The monolayers of both BaAs-b and CdAs-b were disordered, and for CdAs-b no structural differences were observed between multilayer films deposited on oxidized silicon or mica. (BaAs-b could not be deposited on oxidized silicon.) In addition, the periodic height modulation we have reported for CdAs-b20 changed in wavelength from a value of ~1.9 nm in the case of Cd to ~1.2 nm for Mn.

The wavelength of the height modulation is independent of the length of the alkane chain for a given cation, in contradiction to theory.17 No such height modulation was observed for PbS-bBaAs-b films that had three distinct lattice arrangements that included larger height modulations that in turn included stacking faults and offsets by individual methylene units; however, all lattice structures had the same molecular area.16

Experimental Section

Behenic (CH3(CH2)21COOH), arachidic (CH3(CH2)18COOH), stearic (CH3(CH2)16COOH), palmitic acids (CH3(CH2)15COOH)23 were spread from chloroform solution (1.7-2.0 mg/mL) onto an aqueous (water from a Milli-Q24 system was used) subphase in a commercial NIMA Langmuir–Blodgett trough.24 The cations were added to the subphase as 0.5 mM solutions of CdCl2, MnCl2, or Pb(CH3COO)2 and the solution pH was adjusted to between 6.5 and 7 (for BaAs-b, MnAs-b, and CdAs-b) by addition of NaHCO3 or to 7.0 (PbS-b) by addition of NaOH (NaPO3 precipitated when we attempted to adjust the pH with NaHCO3). The respective pH’s were chosen by using the criterion that the isotherm should contain no trace of the "liquid condensed" phase which exists at lower pH,F5 which correlates well with full complexation of the metal salt.19,20,25 The only exception is for the alkaline earth metals such as Ba that require significantly higher pH’s for full complexation.19,20 However, BaS-bmultilayers prepared from pH 9.2 showed no difference in macroscopic or microscopic structure with the films prepared at pH 7, which is in agreement with other data in the literature.16,19 In general, we observed no changes in the structures of any of the films with pH, once the "liquid condensed" phase was absent from the isotherms.25

Hydrophilic substrates were freshly cleaved mica or polished silicon wafers24 (orientation (100), 3 cm-cm, n-type) with a root-mean-square (rms) roughness of approximately 3 Å as measured by AFM. Prior to deposition, the silicon wafers were cleaned in a hot solution of H2O2/H2SO4 (3:7 ratio) to remove any organic contaminants while leaving the amorphous native oxide intact and then stored in clean water until use. The mica substrates were cleaned by continuous rinsing with ethanol for 5 min. After removal from the ethanol bath, the mica was cleaned using ordinary adhesive tapes inserted into the subphase. Since all films were Y type (i.e. adjacent layers stack head to tail or tail to head), the deposition on hydrophilic substrates and imaged in air had an odd number of layers (1, 3, 5, 7) with the methyl end of the alkyl chain at the interface. Isotherms and film deposition were done on the Nima24 trough at 22.0 ± 0.5 °C and a surface pressure of = 30 ± 0.1 dyn/cm. The area per molecule for all species at this surface pressure was in the range of 18-20 Å2/molecule; there was no correlation between the area per molecule on


(22) All of the chemicals used in this study were of the highest available purity. Behenic acid, arachidic acid (eicosaenoic acid), stearic acid, and sodium bicarbonate were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, WI. Cadmium chloride, manganese chloride, and lead acetate were purchased from Sigma Chemical St. Louis, MO. Specified chlorofom was purchased from Fisher Scientific, Santa Clara, CA.

(23) Millipore Corporation, Bedford, MA.


(26) Semiconductor Processing, Boston, MA.

the trough (relative error ±5%) and the structures we observed on the transferred films.10,11,16 Film transfer was accomplished by vertical dipping at a speed of approximately 1.6 mm/min. Transfer ratios were approximately unity. Films to be imaged in air (methyl end exposed) were stored in closed containers for times ranging between 1 and 30 days before imaging. The length of time between deposition and imaging did not affect the images.

AFM measurements were performed with a Nanoscope II or Nanoscope III27 FM in air at room temperature, using a 1 μm × 1 μm scan head and a silicon nitride tip on a cantilever with a spring constant of 0.12 N/m. The best molecular resolution was achieved by using the so-called “force mode”, i.e. scanning the tip at approximately constant height and measuring spring deflection. Typical repulsive forces used were on the order of 10 nN. The degree of drift in the image was evaluated by comparing Fourier transforms of images scanned in opposite directions (up and down). The initial variations between spot positions from up and down scans were often as large as 0.03 nm in amplitude and 3° in angle. However, after scanning times on a single area ranging from 0.5 to 2 h, Fourier spots from the two scan directions were in the same location within our ability to measure them given the digitization of the data on the display (0.01 nm, 0.8°). There was no damage to the samples even after hours of continuous imaging in the AFM.

Statistical analysis similar to that which we had previously reported12 was used to determine the best quantitative fit to the reciprocal lattice vectors (riv), biv, from the Fourier transforms. In principle, the reciprocal lattice vectors could be determined by recording the length and angular orientation of the two linearly independent reflections nearest the origin from the Fourier transform of each image. In practice, however, all of the available reflections are used to provide a best fit lattice structure.12

The values of the Bravais or real space lattice basis vectors, ab, and c, are calculated from the reciprocal basis vectors a b, and c, by the formulas (2 is a unit vector in the direction out of the plane of the Fourier transform):

\[
\begin{align*}
\textbf{a}_1 & = 2\pi \times \frac{x}{b} \\
\textbf{b}_1 & = 2\pi \times \frac{y}{c} \\
\textbf{c}_1 & = 2\pi \times \frac{z}{a} \\
\end{align*}
\]

For the rectangular lattices we observe for PbS-b, Cd-b, and MnAs-b, b1 and c1 are perpendicular to each other, hence

\[
\begin{align*}
\textbf{a}_1 & = 2\pi \times \frac{x}{b_1} \\
\textbf{a}_2 & = 2\pi \times \frac{x}{b_2} \\
\end{align*}
\]

in which ab and bc are the magnitudes of the Bravais and reciprocal lattice vectors. The molecular area of the unit cell is given by

\[
\text{ab} \times \text{bc} = \pi r^2 \sin \theta,
\]

where θ is the angle between the lattice vectors. Dividing by the number of molecules per unit cell (2 for the rectangular unit cell observed for CdAs-b, MnAs-b, and PbS-b3, 3 and 4 for the two types of BaAs-b lattice) gives the area per molecule listed in Table I. Tilt angles were evaluated by measuring the thickness of the films and by comparing the observed lattice structures with those predicted theoretically by Kitago-rodokki.31

In order to calibrate the x and y (lateral) dimensions, 6 images of areas from 30 to 40 nm on a side were obtained from different regions (within 100 nm of each other) on a mica surface. This procedure was repeated with 6 different AFM tips (36 images in total). As the tip is impossible to characterize in detail at the molecular level, we use this procedure to eliminate any systematic errors due to tip shape or any effects of a particular cantilever. For each tip, the reciprocal lattice vectors (riv), biv, determined from Fourier transforms, were averaged to reduce statistical fluctuations. The resulting wavevectors were parametrized by the magnitude, ab, of the Bravais lattice vectors and the angle between adjacent riv, θriv. The six data sets were fit to find the best overall linear calibration constants in x and y directions. The standard deviations from the expected values for mica of aab = 60° and ab = 0.450 nm (adjusted with the best fit calibration) were aab = 0.9° and ab = 0.005 nm. These numbers gave us a guideline as to the degree of reproducibility we could expect from tip to tip on the LB films. It also allowed us to estimate the absolute precision of our m independent calibrations to be ab/m = 0.005/[(60°/0.450) = 0.5%.12 Most tips that showed fourier spots of relatively equal intensity when imaging mica could be used to image the Langmuir–Blodgett films. (A fraction of tips could not resolve the mica lattice. A more common problem was that a tip would produce


Table 1: The Lattice Parameters for LB Films with a Rectangular Two Molecule Unit Cell (Fig. 2), Except Where Noted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>material</th>
<th>layers</th>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>$a_1$ (nm)</th>
<th>$a_2$ (nm)</th>
<th>molecular area ($\text{Å}^2$)</th>
<th>tilt angle (deg)</th>
<th>corr$^c$ length (nm)</th>
<th>modulation period (nm)$^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbS$_{12}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.447 \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$0.922 \pm 0.008$</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS$_{12}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.514 \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$0.752 \pm 0.008$</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS$_{12}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.497 \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$0.739 \pm 0.008$</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS$_{12}$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.493 \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$0.728 \pm 0.008$</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS$_{12}$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>silicon</td>
<td>$0.492 \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$0.728 \pm 0.008$</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS$_{12}$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>silicon</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdA$_{12}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.482 \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$0.748 \pm 0.008$</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdA$_{12}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.482 \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$0.748 \pm 0.008$</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn$_{12}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.46 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$0.87 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn$_{12}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.495 \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$0.791 \pm 0.008$</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn$_{12}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.481 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$0.812 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn$_{12}$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.477 \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$0.834 \pm 0.008$</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn$_{12}$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>silicon</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba$_{12}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.44 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$1.52 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba$_{12}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>mica</td>
<td>$0.73 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$1.84 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$&gt;$40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ For BaA$_3$, the angle between lattice vectors is 66° for both structures, $\theta = 65^\circ$, and the unit cell for BaA$_3$ (1) has 3 molecules and that for BaA$_3$ (2) 4 molecules. $^a$ The molecular area is given by $a_1 a_2 \sin \theta / (\text{number of molecules in the unit cell})$. The tilt angle is measured from the normal to the substrate. $^b$ The positional correlation length measured along the principal directions of the lattice. $^c$ The period of the height modulation, if any. $^d$ Dimensions from electron diffraction which show a hexagonal lattice with short-range order.

Results

Parts b, d, and f in Figure 1 show high-resolution images of monolayer LB films of CdA$_{12}$, Mn$_{12}$, and PbS$_{12}$ on mica, respectively, along with their Fourier transforms inset. Figure 1b shows a monolayer film of PbS$_{12}$ on oxidized silicon. The CdA$_{12}$ and BaA$_3$ monolayers on mica and all monolayers on silicon appear disordered to the eye, and the Fourier transforms corroborate this. The PbS$_{12}$ and Mn$_{12}$ monolayers on mica, however, show long-range order in orientation or position or both. In both cases, the lattice is centered rectangular (see Table I); however, there is a qualitatively different lattice symmetry than in the 3-layer films (see Figure 1a,c,e,g).

Each alkyl chain in the 3-layer films of PbS$_{12}$ has 4 nearest neighbors at a relatively "close-packed" distance of 0.44–0.45 nm and 2 nearest neighbors at a distance of 0.46–0.51 nm. However, the alkyl chains in the monolayers have only 2 nearest neighbors at a short distance of 0.45–0.46 nm and 4 nearest neighbors at a distance of 0.49–0.51 nm. This is a previously unreported sort of packing which does not correspond to any of the familiar types of alkane packing that are observed in all other ordered LB films we have imaged, although it may be related to a rectangular arrangement on the "M" stacking (which Kitaigorodskii rejects because of its low density) which has "ideal" cell dimensions of $a_1 = 0.42$ nm and $a_2 = 0.785$ nm. The nearest neighbor packing in the mica lattice is 0.52 nm, which indicates that the monolayer lattice is most likely expanding to match that of the mica.

Parts a, c, and e of Figure 1 show molecular resolution images of 3-layer LB films of CdA$_{12}$, Mn$_{12}$, and PbS$_{12}$ deposited on mica, respectively, along with their two-dimensional Fourier transforms (FT) inset. Figure 1g shows molecular resolution images of a 3-layer film of PbS$_{12}$ deposited on oxidized silicon. Qualitatively, the lattice structure of these 4 films are the same, as the FT's show a rectangular lattice with alternating bright and dim spots. In the cases of CdA$_{12}$ and Mn$_{12}$ there are additional spots corresponding to a periodic height modulation. As has been previously reported, this "diffraction" pattern describes a nearly centered rectangular lattice. The small but finite intensity of the dim Fourier spots (small arrows) is explicit proof that the unit cell consists of two molecules. The dim spots are the locations of the reciprocal lattice vectors $b_1$ and $b_2$. Because the unit cell contains 2 molecules, and given the particular cell dimensions measured, we conclude that the structure corresponds to a "herringbone" packing called the "R" subcell by Kitaigorodskii.
Figure 1. Unprocessed 20 nm × 20 nm images of LB films of various fatty acid salts. Lighter colors correspond to higher areas; the peak to valley height modulation is ~0.2 nm. The respective two-dimensional Fourier transforms are inset. The vertical and horizontal streaks in the FT’s are the result of noise from the raster pattern of the AFM. (a) 3-layer CdA₂ on mica—the FT (inset) shows the rectangular reciprocal lattice (arrows). The two inner spots (in the nearly horizontal direction) correspond to the periodic height modulation which is visible as light and dark stripes in the image. (b) 1-layer CdA₂ on mica—the surface is disordered. (c) 3-layer MnA₂ on mica—the Fourier transform inset shows the rectangular lattice (arrows) as well as spots corresponding to the periodic height modulation with a period of about 1.2 nm. (d) 1-layer MnA₂ on mica—the faint lattice structure and broad spots in the Fourier transform are characteristic of the short-range order in this monolayer. (e) 3-layer PbSt₂ on mica. The arrows again show the rectangular reciprocal lattice. (f) 1-layer PbSt₂ on mica—a molecular lattice is clearly visible. The clear image and spots in the Fourier transform show that this monolayer has a well-ordered lattice. (g) 3-layer PbSt₂ on oxidized silicon. The image contains at least two domains of different orientation, likely twinned, and the FT shows the superimposed lattices of the two domains. (h) 1-layer PbSt₂ on silicon—no order is visible in the image; the dark spots are monolayer holes in the film.

PbSt₂, respectively. The correlations for all of the 3-layer films (solid lines) are hardly diminished at a distance of 10 nm. The monolayer of PbSt₂ has similar positional correlations. However, the correlations of the monolayer of MnA₂, although it has
Figure 2. A schematic drawing of the rectangular unit cell. The long axis of the ovals represents the zigzag plane of the alkyl chain. The white circles represent the position of the terminal methyl group. Since every other row has an alternate molecular orientation, the terminal methyl group of the central molecule is not exactly centered in the rectangle formed by the other four methyl groups. Therefore, the unit cell must be described as nearly centered rectangular and contains 2 molecules. Table I summarizes the values of $a_1$ and $a_2$.

distinguishable correlation maxima for 5 or 6 repeat distances, dies out by 3 nm. The positional correlation length for the CdA$_2$ monolayer is less than one repeat distance as demonstrated by the fact that it has no second maximum.

We have previously observed a periodic buckling superstructure along the [01] direction on cadmium fatty acid salt films (see Figure 1a). We have found the buckling superstructure along the same specific lattice symmetry direction on every film with cadmium counterion we examined for fatty acids of chain length C$_{16}$ to C$_{29}$. Our measurements also confirmed our earlier findings on CdA$_2$, that the buckling was independent of the substrate, the surface pressure on the subphase (10–30 mN/m), deposition speed, and the deposition direction. In 45 independent repetitions of the measurement, buckling was present in 30 of the sampled domains. We define a domain to be a continuous area of the LB film with the same lattice structure and orientation, without any evidence of twinning or grain boundaries. Some measurements showed only a “broadband” buckling, i.e., two or more spots with wavelengths from 1 to 3 nm in the [10] direction. However, in

Figure 3. Unprocessed molecular resolution images (left) and Fourier transforms (right) of the three lattice structures seen in BaA$_2$ films. (a) Lattice 1—a “sawtooth” superstructure is seen every 3 rows. The Fourier transforms (FT) show the basis of the reciprocal lattice labeled. (b) Lattice 2—Alternating rows of high and low zigzag molecules can be clearly seen. (c) Disordered isolated areas of molecular order are visible but without long-range correlation. The FT inset shows that the peaks are diffuse due to short-range order. In all of the FT’s, the vertical and horizontal streaks are due to noise generated by the discrete raster pattern of the AFM.
Figure 4. One-dimensional cross sections taken along a lattice direction (when one exists) of the 3-dimensinal autocorrelation functions of the images in Figures 1a–f. From top to bottom the data correspond to 3-layer CdA2, 1-layer Cda2, 3-layer MnA2, 1-layer MnA2, 3-layer PbSt2, and 1-layer PbSt2. The solid lines, corresponding to the 3-layer films, show substantial correlations at 10 nm, as does the dashed line corresponding to the 1-layer PbSt2 film. However, the 1-layer MnA2 has only 5 or 6 maxima because of its short-range order, and the 1-layer CdA2 has a correlation length less than the nearest-neighbor distance.

About 60% of the domains with buckling, areas with only one distinct period were identified.

Figure 5 shows the measured period for the buckling superstructure on LB films with hydrocarbon chain lengths of C16 (cadmium palmitate), C18 (cadmium stearate), and C20 (cadmium arachidate). Of the 4 domains examined on cadmium behenate (C22), none had buckling with a distinct period. The average period for the buckling is about 3 unit cells along the [01] direction, corresponding to 2.25 nm. The lattice parameters did not change with alkane chain length over the range examined. For CdA2, the period of the buckling varied significantly, often even within the same domain. The standard deviation for an individual measurement of the buckling period was 0.09 ± 0.02 nm (small bar on Figure 5). However, the measured buckling periods in different domains have a distribution with a standard deviation of 0.38 ± 0.04 nm. Thus, the variance in the buckling is apparent due to a true distribution of periods with an average of 1.9 nm.

A similar analysis of the relative angle of the buckling shows that it is along the [10] direction within about 1°, which is close to our measurement error.

The variance was significantly less for cadmium stearate and cadmium palmitate, which showed a much narrower distribution of buckling wavelengths, much closer to the measurement error. However, the average wavelength of the buckling was identical with that of CdA2. Theoretical predictions suggest that, if the buckling is due to a frustration in packing incommensurate headgroups and tailgroups, the wavelength should increase with increasing chain length for a given headgroup. What we see is quite different; the variance of the buckling increases with increasing chain length from palmitate to arachidate; however, the average wavelength does not change. The buckling then disappears for behenate.

The theories, however, assume a continuous distribution of allowed tilt angles, whereas the preferred alkane packing has distinct preferences for angles that preserve the close packing of the chains. The theories also assume no preferred direction for the buckling; we observe the buckling always occurs along the [01] or next-nearest-neighbor direction.

In all of our measurements of PbSt2 and lead palmitate we were unable to discern any superstructure. However, the 3-layer films of MnA2 have a very clear superstructure along the [01] direction which is visible in Figure 1c and manifests itself as the Fourier spots closest to the origin. The period of this buckling was found to be 1.18 ± 0.08 nm, considerably less than that found in the cadmium arachidate films. The period of the buckling on MnA2 is also much better defined, as the error bars reflect the error involved in the measurement while the error bars on the buckling period of the CdA2 films reflect the fact that the period varies significantly from region to region.

Discussion

From our data, it is clear that the counterion plays the dominant role in determining the area per molecule in Langmuir–Blodgett monolayers and multilayers. The alkane chains then determine the lattice parameters and symmetry that is compatible with close packing, given the constraint imposed by the counterion. Mica substrates can also influence the lattice structure; however, if present, the influence begins with the first monolayer, which is ordered to a greater or lesser extent, and relaxes after 5–7 layers. The length of the fatty acid chain plays no role in the lattice parameters and symmetry, although it does have a limited effect on the details of the buckling superstructure.

The differences between the limiting lattice parameters for the multilayer films must be due to the influence of the cation even though the packing symmetry is determined by the alkane chain packing. We have found reported values of atomic radii for the bare ions of 0.97 Å for Cd2+, 0.8 Å for Mn2+, 1.2 Å for Pb2+, and 1.34 Å for Ba2+35. Although the exact values of these parameters may be debated, it is clear that they are not correlated with the limiting area per molecule in LB multilayers, which is Ba2+ > Mn2+ > Cd2+ > Pb2+. Surface potential measurements30 suggest that the Ba ion and other alkaline earth metals interact with fatty acids electrostatically by screening negative charges in a nonspecific way, while Cd, Mn, and other transition metals interact more specifically via covalent bonding. The effect of pH on isotherms also shows a similar trend. Both Cd and Mn condense partially ionized

fatty acid monolayers, effectively removing the "liquid condensed" phase in isotherms over a pH range of 6–7, which is consistent with the estimated pK_a of the fatty acid film of about 5.6.24,25 Analysis of LB films of CdA_2 shows complete saturation of the carboxylic acid with the metal ion occurs at a pH of about 6.5. Pb affects the monolayer at significantly lower pH, with the "liquid condensed" phase in isotherms absent at a pH > 4.20 and complete saturation of the carboxylic acid in LB films at a pH of about 4.5.25 Ba fatty acid LB films do not fully saturate up to a pH of 8.5.25 Hence, BaA_2 films are a mixture of protonated and deprotonated fatty acids with Ba over the pH range examined here,16,19 while cadmium, lead, and manganese forms specific complexes with deprotonated fatty acids with a well-defined stoichiometry,10-16,18-21,27-30 The limiting area per molecule appears to be governed by the tendency for covalent bonding, with larger areas per molecule associated with primarily ionic bonding, in the case of Ba, and smaller areas per molecule associated with covalent bonds, especially for Pb. The simplest correlation is the inverse correspondence between the limiting molecular area of the multilayer films and the Pauling electronegativity of 0.9 for Ba, 1.5 for Mn, 1.7 for Cd, and 1.8 for Pb.37 We can apparently tailor the area per molecule of the fatty acid chains over the range of 17.9–20.6 Å² per molecule simply by altering the type of cation. From our data, it appears that the transition from untitled to tilted alkane chains occurs at an area per molecule between 19.3 (3-layer film of PbSt_3 on mica) and 19.6 Å² (3-layer film of MnA_2 on mica).

In the case of CdA_2 we previously concluded10-13 that the headgroup–headgroup interface was necessary to stabilize long-range order. Although this appears to be true with cadmium and barium, it is true to a lesser degree with manganese and appears to be incorrect with lead. Long-range order can also be stabilized by an interaction of the film with a specific substrate. However, even in these cases the particular structure formed by the monolayer films is significantly different and more expanded than the multilayer structure. It is possible that there is a specific interaction between the cations and the negatively charged mica. The lattice structure of the cleavage plane of mica is hexagonal with a nearest-neighbor distance of 0.52 nm. The expanded structure of the monolayer lattices contained 4 out of 6 nearest-neighbor distances in the 0.49–0.51-nm range. We will explore this further in future work by seeking to determine if there is commensurate epitaxy between the films and mica, as well as investigating the influence of other substrates on the lattice parameters.21 In practice, the best order in LB films might be obtained by coupling the lattice structure of the film to the appropriate substrate lattice in an epitaxial fashion.

We postulated previously10 that the periodic, undulating structures (buckling) that were observed in CdA_2 and MnA_2 might be related to a frustration in molecular packing due to the internal anisotropy of the molecule.17 In particular, there may be a competition between the packing of the head and tail groups due to different size or symmetry. Hence, a different mean molecular area (of the headgroup) could result in a different buckling period. Mn has a larger ionic radius than cadmium, which may be the cause of the significantly smaller modulation period. This is complicated, however, by the fact that the superstructure in the case of MnA_2 is an excitation about the equilibrium structure of the tilted phase while in the case of CdA_2 it is a variation from the untitled structure. Certainly, this continues to be an interesting area for investigation. One question, in particular, that is quite interesting is why the chain length of the fatty acid fails to influence the average wavelength of the buckling superstructure as predicted by theory.17 The theories, however, assume a continuous distribution of allowed tilt angles, whereas the preferred alkane packing has distinct preferences for angles that preserve the close packing of the chains.31

Conclusions

Atomic force microscopy can provide the most detailed real and Fourier space information available on the structure of Langmuir–Blodgett films of fatty acid salts. We have demonstrated the effects of different cations and substrates on the lattice structure of 1- and 3-layer LB films of barium, cadmium, manganese, and lead fatty acid salts. Although the 3-layer films of all but BaA_2 have similar packing symmetry, the variation in the unit cell dimensions is related to the degree of covalent bonding between the metal ion and the carboxylic acid group, and to specific interactions with the mica substrate. The structure and extent of positional correlations of the monolayer films are dramatically affected by a change in cation and are related to a strong interaction with the mica substrate. The period of buckling modulation (on the 3-layer cadmium and manganese films) is also altered by a change in cation, but not by a change in the alkane chain length.
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